

MATH327: StatMech and Thermo

Tuesday, 17 March 2026

47 91 11

Something to consider

Last month we contrasted gases of distinguishable vs. indist'able particles by counting the ways of labelling particles with different properties (momentum, position, etc.)

What happens if multiple particles have exactly the same properties?

Recap

Grand-canonical ensemble

Generalized therm. identity

$$dE = T dS - P dV + \mu dN$$

$$\rightarrow \mu = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} \right|_{V, S} < 0$$

Quantized energy levels ϵ_l $l=0, 1, \dots, \infty$

$$\text{Fugacity expansion } Z_g = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} (e^{\beta \mu} Z_1)^N = \exp[e^{\beta \mu} Z_1]$$

Today

Classical Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics

Quantum ~~Bose~~ Einstein statistics

~~For~~ For Z_1 , sum over single micro-state for each ϵ_l

$$Z_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta \epsilon_l}$$

$$Z_g = \exp \left[e^{\beta \mu} \sum_{\lambda} e^{-\beta E_{\lambda}} \right] = \exp \left[\sum_{\lambda} e^{-\beta(E_{\lambda} - \mu)} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{\lambda} \exp \left[e^{-\beta(E_{\lambda} - \mu)} \right]$$

Maxwell-Boltzmann g.c. part. Func.

Hidden classical assumption

$$Z_N = \frac{1}{N!} Z_1^N \quad \text{iff. all } N \text{ particles occupy different } E_{\lambda}$$

Not Guaranteed by classical continuous energies

Breaks down when relatively few E_{λ} with non-negligible $e^{-\beta E_{\lambda}}$

Example: $N=2$ particles with $L+1=5$ energy levels

How many micro-states in sums $Z_D = \sum_i (\dots)$

and $Z_I = \sum_i (\dots)!$

$5 \times 5 = 25$ for $Z_D \rightarrow \frac{1}{2!} 25 = 12.5$ indist'able micro-states

page 92

Check all dist'able micro-states



- | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|------------------------------|
| RB000 | \leftrightarrow | BR000 | B0R00 | B00R0 | B000R | } | $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{N!}$ |
| R0B00 | | 0RB00 | 0BR00 | 0B0R0 | 0B00R | | |
| R00B0 | | 0R0B0 | 00RB0 | 00BR0 | 00B0R | | |
| R000B | | 0R00B | 00R0B | 000RB | 000BR | | |
| 20000 | | 02000 | 00200 | 00020 | 00002 | | |
- $\frac{No}{N!}$

For $N \gg 1$ would be nightmare to track all multiple-occupancy overcounting factors

True quantum statistics

defines micro-states in terms of how many particles occupy each discrete energy level ϵ_i

occupation number n_i

Same example:

11000	01100	00110
10100	01010	00101
10010	01001	00011
10001	02000 ^x	00020 ^x
20000 ^x	00200 ^x	00002 ^x

$\therefore Z_{\mathbb{F}}$ sums over 15 w_i not 12.5 \checkmark
 $(\#(\#+1))/2 = ((2+1)(2+2)/2)$

Not all occupation numbers n_i are allowed

Two possibilities (in 3d)

- 1) $n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (bosons) (Higgs, photons, pions, He4)
- 2) $n_i = 0$ or $n_i = 1$ (fermions) (electrons, protons, He3)

"Pauli exclusion principle" \rightarrow chemistry, life
(Not repulsion - feature of quantum physics)

Same example: Only 10 w_i for fermions

page 94

$$(\#(\#-1)/N) = ((2+1)2/2)$$

Different allowed micro-states \rightarrow different quantum statistics